IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 26 OF 2007
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 46 OF 2007

Bechoo Antu Yadav. ... Appellant.
V/s.
The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Bombay. ... Respondent.

AND
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 27 OF 2007
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 47 OF 2007

Kushiram Tekaram Jaiswal @ Kanojia ... Appellant.
V/s.
The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Bombay. ... Respondent.

AND
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 28 OF 2007
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 48 OF 2007

Harkoo Bihagar Gupta. ... Appellant.
V/s.
The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Bombay. ... Respondent.

AND
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 29 OF 2007
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 49 OF 2007

Laxmiram Jeeta Gupta. ... Appellant.
V/s.
The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Bombay. ... Respondent.

B.P.Shukla for the appellant.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

DATED: 12th January 2007.

P.C.:

. I find no infirmity in the order passed by the lower Court. Having recorded a finding that the land in question is not declared as slum area and

that no notification under section 4(1) of the Slum Act has ever been issued pertaining to the suit land, the question of getting benefit of the Government Policy, which is related to the lands declared as slum and public lands, cannot be of any avail to the appellant. Interestingly, the plaintiff has not even bothered to implead the owner of the land which, undisputedly, is a private land.

2. In that view of the matter, the Court below has rightly rejected the prayer of the appellant. No interference is warranted. All the appeals are dismissed. In view of dismissal of appeals, civil applications do not survive. The same are also dismissed as infructuous.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.)